

Evidence-Based Practical Guidance for the Antithrombotic Management in Patients With Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) in 2020

Clinical and Applied
Thrombosis/Hemostasis
Volume 26: 1-8
© The Author(s) 2020
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/1076029620936350
journals.sagepub.com/home/cat



Eduardo Ramacciotti, MD, PhD^{1,2,3} , Ariane Scarlatelli Macedo, MD² ,
Rodrigo Bruno Biagioni, MD⁴, Roberto Augusto Caffaro²,
Renato Delascio Lopes, MD, PhD⁵, João Carlos Guerra, MD, PhD^{6,7},
Fernanda Andrade Orsi, MD, PhD⁸ , Marcos Areas Marques, MD⁹,
Alfonso J. Tafur, MD¹⁰, Joseph A. Caprini, MD¹⁰,
Andrew Nicolaidis, MD, MS, PhD (Hon)¹¹, Charles A. Carter, PharmD, MBA¹² ,
Cyrillo Carneiro Filho, MD, PhD^{13,14} , and Jawed Fareed, PhD³

Abstract

This practical guidance, endorsed by the Brazilian Society of Thrombosis and Hemostasis and The Brazilian Society of Angiology and Vascular Surgery, the International Union of Angiology and the European Venous Forum, aims to provide physicians with clear guidance, based on current best evidence-based data, on clinical strategies to manage antithrombotic strategies in patients with coronavirus disease 2019.

Keywords

coronavirus disease 2019, SARS-CoV-2, thrombosis, antithrombotic therapy, anticoagulants, antiplatelets

Date received: 14 May 2020; accepted: 1 June 2020.

Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) predispose patients to arterial and venous thrombotic complications due to its endothelial dysfunction and interplay with intense inflammation, platelet activation, and stasis.^{1,2} Also, many patients receiving antithrombotic therapy for venous or arterial thrombotic disease may develop COVID-19 or have its anticoagulation strategy affected by the pandemic crisis.³ A fair number of guidelines and statement documents were rapidly published and, unfortunately, given the urgent nature of the pandemic, many proposing some never-evaluated anticoagulation/thrombosis management strategies. In this practical guide, we review the current understanding of the pathogenesis, evolving epidemiology and propose an evidence-based management strategy for patients with COVID-19 who develop venous or arterial thrombosis, and of those with preexisting cardiovascular disease who develop COVID-19, or those who need their antithrombotic regimens to be managed during the COVID-19 pandemic. The objective is to keep this guidance very practical, highlighting the

¹ Hospital Christóvão da Gama, Grupo Leforte, Santo André, São Paulo, Brazil

² Santa Casa de São Paulo School of Medical Sciences, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

³ Hemostasis & Thrombosis Research Laboratories at Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, IL, USA

⁴ São Paulo State Public Servant Hospital, São Paulo, Brazil

⁵ Duke Clinical Research Institute, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA

⁶ Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, Brazil

⁷ Centro de Hematologia de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

⁸ School of Medical Sciences University of Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil

⁹ School of Medicine, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

¹⁰ NorthShore University Health System, Skokie, IL, USA

¹¹ Vascular Screening and Diagnostic Centre and University of Nicosia Medical School, Nicosia, Cyprus

¹² Department of Clinical Research, College of Pharmacy & Health Sciences, Campbell University, NC, USA

¹³ Hospital Sirio-Libanês, São Paulo, Brazil

¹⁴ Heart Institute, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Corresponding Author:

Eduardo Ramacciotti, Hospital Christóvão da Gama, Grupo Leforte, Santo André, Santa Casa de São Paulo School of Medical Sciences, São Paulo, 09030110, Brazil.

Email: eduardoramacciotti@gmail.com



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use,

reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (<https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage>).

knowledge gaps and areas of uncertainty where randomized clinical trials (RCTs) are desperately needed.

What Is Known

- Coronavirus disease 2019 now deemed a pandemic by World Health Organization (WHO)
- It causes severe hypoxemia and severe acute respiratory syndrome
- Cardiovascular disease = ↑risk of severe COVID-19
- Coronavirus disease 2019 with no history cardiovascular disease = ↑risk of severe cardiovascular complications
- Cardiomyopathy, strokes, severe venous and arterial thrombosis, cardiovascular death
- High rates of thrombotic events, particularly pulmonary embolism (PE)
- Sepsis-induced coagulopathy COVID-19 = microvascular thrombosis

What Is Unknown

- Coronavirus disease 2019 full mechanism of thrombogenesis
- Is there any risk score that accurately predicts thrombotic events in patients with COVID-19?
- Is there room for a novel anticoagulation strategy other than prophylaxis for patients with COVID-19?
- When is extended venous thromboembolism (VTE) prophylaxis needed?
- Is D-dimer an adequate proxy for guidance for VTE prophylaxis and treatment
- Role of invasive procedures such as fibrinolytic agents and catheter-directed thrombolysis

Venous Thromboembolism With PE or Primary Pulmonary Thrombosis?

Cattaneo and colleagues, involved in the COVID-19 outbreak in Northern Italy reports that 388 patients have been admitted to their wards, and none of them developed symptomatic or asymptomatic deep venous thrombosis (DVT) during their hospital stay. This group performed leg compression ultrasonography, which failed to detect DVT events in all of the 64 tested patients, independently of the severity of their condition and length of in-hospital bed rest.⁷ Also, Klok and colleagues from the Netherlands report 184 patients with severe COVID-19, all hospitalized in intensive care unit and treated mostly with standard doses of low-molecular-weight heparins (LMWH) for thromboprophylaxis. They observed a high incidence of VTE (n = 28). However, only 1 patient had DVT.⁸ It is questioned whether the observed pulmonary vessel occlusions that have been described in reports on patients with COVID-19 are exclusively caused by PE or other thrombotic pathology. Postmortem analysis suggests thrombo-hemorrhagic microangiopathy, enlarged pulmonary blood vessels containing microthrombi, and diffuse thrombotic material is observed also in other organs.⁹ Nevertheless, the distinction between both pathologies is not

an easy task, because their pathogenesis and, hence, anticoagulant treatment is arguably different. Figure 1 depicts the Coronavirus disease 2019 and thrombotic risk mechanism and Figure 2 shows the high incidence of thrombotic events reported by Klok and colleagues.

Laboratory Abnormalities COVID-19¹⁰

- Lymphopenia
- ↑ Lactate dehydrogenase
- ↑ Inflammatory markers
- C-reactive protein
- D-dimer (correlates with poor prognosis)
- Ferritin
- Interleukin-6—(correlates with poor prognosis)
- ↑Fibrinogen

Coagulation Abnormalities COVID-19¹⁰

- Endothelial dysfunction and *cytokine storm*
- Excess thrombin generation and fibrinolysis shutdown
- Thrombocytopenia or thrombocytosis
- Prolongation of the prothrombin time, international normalized ratio, and thrombin time
- ↓Activated partial thromboplastin time
- SIC: sepsis-induced coagulopathy
- Thromboelastometry: ↑ MCF FIBTEM

Venous Thromboembolism Prophylaxis for COVID-19

All patients with COVID-19 should undergo risk stratification and all patients with COVID-19 should be considered as high risk (with validated risk-scores such as the Caprini, IMPROVE, and Padua models) and receive in-hospital prophylaxis.¹¹ The Caprini score is currently undergoing validation for patients with COVID-19. Randomized trials have demonstrated the efficacy of prophylactic anticoagulation for reducing the risk of VTE in acutely ill hospitalized medical patients, doses are standard, and appropriate use of VTE prophylaxis has been incorporated into clinical practice guidelines.¹²

The choice of agents and dosing should be based on the best evidence-based data available and international guideline recommendations. The WHO interim guidance statement recommends prophylactic daily LMWHs, or twice daily subcutaneous (SC) unfractionated heparin (UFH).¹³ No increased dose of anticoagulants for VTE prophylaxis in medically ill patients was validated on properly conducted RCTs. We, therefore, recommend against until ongoing trials provide reliable evidence of the efficacy safety profile of these strategies. In case pharmacological prophylaxis is contraindicated, mechanical VTE prophylaxis (intermittent pneumatic compression) should be considered in immobilized patients.¹²

Extended VTE prophylaxis should be considered after hospital discharge. Previous RCTs in patients hospitalized with




Figure 1. Coronavirus disease 2019 and thrombotic risk mechanism. Severe acute respiratory-CoV-2 direct pulmonary and systemic infection, due to its endothelial invasion and dysfunction, activates an inflammatory response, leading to the release of inflammatory mediators. Endothelial and hemostatic activation ensues, with decreased levels of tissue factor pathway inhibitor (TFPI) and increased tissue factor. This viral infection inflammation/coagulation interplay,⁴ in association with stasis, cardiovascular diseases, and genetics, leverages the hemostatic derangement^{5,6} It results in venous thromboembolism (VTE), particularly pulmonary embolism (PE), arterial thrombosis, myocardial infarction (MI), strokes, and cardiovascular death. In case of further hemostatic derangement; disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), whose treatment is different from PE.

acute medical illness demonstrated that extended prophylaxis with enoxaparin¹⁴ or direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) can reduce the risk of VTE, at the cost of an increase in bleeding events, including major bleeding.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ While no data specific to COVID-19 exist, there are planned RCT for this particular high-risk population. Interestingly, clinicians have observed that patients with COVID-19 frequently fail to fall into Mariner trial criteria. For now, it is reasonable to apply a case-by-case risk stratification for thrombotic and hemorrhagic risk, followed by consideration of extended prophylaxis (for up to 45 days) for patients with an elevated risk of VTE and low risk of bleeding.¹⁸

Venous Thromboembolism Prophylaxis Recommendations

- Risk stratification and in-hospital prophylaxis (all)—IMPROVE, Caprini, Padua

The WHO Interim Guidance Statement Recommends Standard Doses:

- Enoxaparin 40 mg SC once daily*
- Fondaparinux 2.5 mg once daily
- Unfractionated heparin 5.000 IU SC twice daily
- Consider extended VTE prophylaxis with either LMWH or DOAC (up to 45 days post-discharge).
- Extended prophylaxis with LMWH or DOACs = ↓risk of VTE but ↑major bleeding
- If anticoagulants contraindicated = mechanical prophylaxis

* Enoxaparin dose adjustment: CrCl < 30 mL/minute = enoxaparin 20 mg SC once daily (↓50% of the dose)

* Enoxaparin dose adjustment for body mass index (BMI):
40 to 60 mg once daily for BMI 30 to 40 kg/m²
40 mg twice a day for BMI > 40 kg/m²




Figure 2. Epidemiology. Very high incidence of thrombotic COVID-associated events. The most updated epidemiology data comes from Klok and colleagues, that reevaluated their initial findings and reported a follow-up from 7 to 14 days on 184 intensive care unit (ICU) patients of whom a total of 41 died (22%) and 78 were discharged alive (43%). All patients received pharmacological thromboprophylaxis. The cumulative incidence of the composite outcome, adjusted for competing for risk of death, was 49% (95% CI: 41% to 57%). The majority of thrombotic events were pulmonary embolism (PE; 65/75; 87%). Patients diagnosed with thrombotic complications were at higher risk of all-cause death (hazard ratio [HR]: 5.4; 95% CI: 2.4 to 12). Use of therapeutic anticoagulation was not associated with all-cause death (HR: 0.79, 95% CI: 0.35-1.8).⁸




Figure 3. Heparins-in hospital prophylaxis doses of anticoagulants for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients.¹⁹

60 mg twice a day for BMI > 50 kg/m². Figure 3 and 4 show the dose/regimen for anticoagulants for VTE prophylaxis for patients with COVID-19.

Coronavirus Disease 2019 and VTE Treatment

The mainstay treatment for VTE is anticoagulation.²⁰ Standard doses should be used until further data emerge from ongoing RCT. As of now, we have minimal available data to indicate lower mortality from routine use of advanced VTE therapies such as fibrinolytic therapies or vena cava filters insertions.²¹ Duration of anticoagulation should be defined in a case-by-case




Figure 4. Extended venous thromboembolism (VTE) prophylaxis strategy doses.

scenario but given the severity of COVID-associated thrombotic events in combination with clinical comorbidities, it is reasonable to think on prolonged anticoagulation therapies.²²

Treatment

- Full anticoagulation (mainly LMWH*, fondaparinux, or UFH while at hospital and DOACs for long-term treatment) at standard doses
- Minimal available data to indicate lower mortality from routine use of advanced VTE therapies
- ↓ The use of inferior vena cava filters and catheter-directed thrombolysis (CDC)
- Home treatment whenever possible

- Treat suspicious of PE as PE
- Pulmonary embolism management should follow international guidelines
- Submassive but stable = anticoagulation
- Massive (unstable PE) = fibrinolysis
- *No evidence to increase doses off-label*
- Dose adjustments with renal function*

* Enoxaparin treatment dose adjustment = $\text{CrCl} < 30 \text{ mL/minute} = 1 \text{ mg/kg SC once daily (}\downarrow 50\% \text{ of the dose)}$. Figure 5 and 6 show the dose/regimen for anticoagulants for VTE treatment for patients with COVID-19.




Figure 5. Heparins–VTE treatment doses. Inhospital full anticoagulation doses of parenteral drugs for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients.¹⁹

Pandemic Empirical Therapeutic Anticoagulation

Coronavirus disease 2019 is a new aggressive viral infection with scarce reliable data on its cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Reports suggest unexpected high rates of severe thrombotic arterial and venous events.⁸ Given the nature of some desperate situations, some clinicians have suggested intermediate-dose or full dose parenteral anticoagulation (rather than prophylactic dosing) for routine care of patients with COVID-19, hypothesizing that it may confer a benefit in preventing microvascular thrombosis, even in the absence of suspicious of thrombosis.²¹ The existing data are very limited, primarily based on a subgroup analysis ($N = 97$) from a single retrospective study with limited adjustments.²⁴ As of now, it is not possible to evaluate the safety/efficacy profile of such unusual strategies. Proper RCTs are ongoing and will provide important guidance regarding the best anticoagulant strategies for COVID-19 thrombosis management.

Ongoing COVID-19 Anticoagulation Strategies Clinical Trials

There are currently 40 programmed studies and RCTs evaluating different anticoagulation strategies for COVID-19 thrombosis-related management registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov. Table 1 depicts these studies/trials registered up to April 30, 2020.




Figure 6. Direct oral anticoagulants anticoagulation doses for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients.²³

Table 1. Ongoing Interventional Trials on VTE Management in Patients With COVID-19.

	Title and hyperlink	Population	Intervention	Main outcomes
NCT 04377997	Safety and Efficacy of Therapeutic Anticoagulation on Clinical Outcomes in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19	300 adult patients with COVID-19 hospitalized in ICU with Elevated D-dimer (>1.5 g/mL at admission)	Enoxaparin	1. Composite efficacy end point of death, cardiac arrest, symptomatic deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, arterial thromboembolism, myocardial infarction, or hemodynamic shock. [Time frame: 12 weeks] 2. Major bleeding event according to the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) definition. [Time Frame: 12 weeks]
NCT 04373707	Weight-Adjusted vs Fixed Low Doses of Low Molecular Weight Heparin For Venous Thromboembolism Prevention in COVID-19	602 Adult patients hospitalized for a probable/confirmed COVID-19 infection	Enoxaparin	Risk of deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism or venous thromboembolism-related death [Time frame: 28 days]
NCT 04372589	Antithrombotic Therapy to Ameliorate Complications of COVID-19 (ATTACC)	3000 adult patients hospitalized for confirmed COVID-19	Heparin	1. Intubation (Any need for invasive mechanical ventilation) 2. Mortality [Time frame: 30 days]
NCT 04367831	Intermediate or Prophylactic-Dose Anticoagulation for Venous or Arterial Thromboembolism in Severe COVID-19	100 patients with Confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 hospitalized in the ICU	• Enoxaparin prophylactic dose • Heparin Infusion • Heparin SC • Enoxaparin/intermediate dose	Number of patients with clinically relevant venous or arterial thrombotic events in ICU [Time frame: discharge from ICU or 30 days]
NCT 04366960	Comparison of Two Doses of Enoxaparin for Thromboprophylaxis in Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients	2712 patients hospitalized with confirmed COVID-19	Drug: Enoxaparin	Incidence of venous thromboembolism detected by imaging [Time frame: 30 days]
NCT 04362085	Coagulopathy of COVID-19: A Pragmatic Randomized Controlled Trial of Therapeutic Anticoagulation Versus Standard Care	462 patients hospitalized with confirmed COVID-19 and D-dimer value ≥ 2 times ULN	Drug: Therapeutic anticoagulation	ICU admission, noninvasive positive pressure ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or all-cause death [Time frame: up to 28 days]
NCT04360824	Covid-19 Associated Coagulopathy	170 patients hospitalized with confirmed COVID-19 with a modified ISTH Overt DIC score ≥ 3	• Intermediate dose enoxaparin • Standard of care thromboprophylaxis	All-cause mortality [Time frame: 30 days] Risk of all-cause mortality
NCT 04359277	A Randomized Trial of Anticoagulation Strategies in COVID-19	1000 COVID-19 positive patients with a D-dimer >500 ng/mL	• Drug: enoxaparin higher dose • Drug: lower-dose prophylactic anticoagulation	1. All-cause mortality [Time frame: 1 year] 2. Incidence of cardiac arrest, symptomatic deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, arterial thromboembolism, myocardial infarction, and hemodynamic shock [Time frame: 21 days]

(continued)

Table 1. (continued)

	Title and hyperlink	Population	Intervention	Main outcomes
NCT 04359212	Increased Risk of VTE and Higher Hypercoagulability in Patients Recovered in ICU and Medical Ward for COVID-19	90 patients with a confirmed infection for COVID-19 needing admission to a medical hospital division or an ICU		Cumulative proportion of any distal or proximal deep venous thrombosis or of symptomatic pulmonary embolism [Time frame: 28 days]
NCT 04345848	Preventing COVID-19 Complications With Low- and High-dose Anticoagulation	200 adult patients with COVID-19 infections, admitted to an acute noncritical medical ward with admission D-dimer levels >1000 ng/mL, or an acute critical ward (ICU, intermediate care unit)	Drug: Enoxaparin	The composite outcome of arterial or venous thrombosis, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and all-cause mortality [Time frame: 30 days]
NCT 04344756	Trial Evaluating Efficacy and Safety of Anticoagulation in Patients With COVID-19 Infection, Nested in the Corimmuno-19 Cohort	Non reported	Drug: Tinzaparin or unfractionated heparin	Non reported

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; DIC, disseminated intravascular coagulation; ICU, intensive care unit; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

Final Considerations

Given the coronavirus pandemic, with its unexpected high rates of arterial and venous thrombotic events, it is understandable that clinicians on the frontline decide to stretch the boundaries of known treatment indications. So far, in the Covid-19 pandemic, we have observed that treatment has often been offered based on individual clinician's opinion. It is not known when this pandemic will end and the scientific community in different parts of the world has been working hard to generate the appropriate evidence that is needed to guide clinical practice decisions and improve patient care. Pandemic distress does not grant freedom to choose whatever anticoagulation strategies physicians feel to be appropriate based solely on personal experiences. Clinical observations and common sense coupled with the appropriate equipoise across different interventions should be used to generate hypotheses to be tested prospectively in well-powered RCTs).

Randomized clinical trials are the most reliable approaches to evaluating the effects of new treatments and vaccines.²⁵ During the 2014 to 2015 West African Ebola epidemic, many questioned if such trials would be feasible. Experienced colleagues demonstrated that RCT, even in such difficult circumstances, was not only feasible but the only reliable way that could provide proper guidance, in contrast to the empirical strategies employed during pandemic times.²⁶

Many different trials evaluating anticoagulants and other strategies for both VTE prophylaxis and treatment are currently ongoing. Reliable data will be available soon, but until then, physicians should do what has been proven to be efficient and safe and in case of uncertainty randomize patients into ongoing clinical trials.

Authors' Note

Endorsed by the Brazilian Society of Thrombosis and Hemostasis, The Brazilian Society for Angiology and Vascular Surgery, the International Union of Angiology and the European Venous Forum.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Eduardo Ramacciotti  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5735-1333>
 Ariane Scarlatelli Macedo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3453-8488>
 Fernanda Andrade Orsi  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7908-9073>
 Charles A. Carter  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4302-0402>
 Cyrillo Carneiro Filho  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8924-1104>
 Jawed Fareed  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3465-2499>

References

1. Clerkin KJ, Fried JA, Raikhelkar J, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and cardiovascular disease. *Circulation*. 2020;141(20):1648-1655.
2. Engelmann B, Massberg S. Thrombosis as an intravascular effector of innate immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2013;13(1):34-45.
3. Gupta AK, Jneid H, Addison D, et al. Current perspectives on coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) and cardiovascular disease: a white paper by the JAHA editors. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2020;9:e017013.
4. Ramacciotti E, Agati LB, Aguiar VCR, et al. Zika and Chikungunya virus and risk for venous thromboembolism. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost*. 2019;25:1076029618821184.

5. Ramacciotti E, Blackburn S, Hawley AE, et al. Evaluation of soluble P-selectin as a marker for the diagnosis of deep venous thrombosis. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost*. 2011;17(4):425-431.
6. Ramacciotti E, Hawley AE, Wroblewski SK, et al. Proteomics of microparticles after deep venous thrombosis. *Thromb Res*. 2010;125(6):e269-e274.
7. Cattaneo M, Bertinato EM, Biocchi S, et al. Pulmonary embolism or pulmonary thrombosis in COVID-19? Is the recommendation to use high-dose heparin for thromboprophylaxis justified? *Thromb Haemost*. April 29 2020. Online ahead of print. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1712097.
8. Klok F, Kruip MJHA, van der Meer NJM, et al. Confirmation of the high cumulative incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19: an updated analysis. *Thromb Res*. 2020;191:148-150.
9. Du Y, Tu L, Zhu P, et al. Clinical features of 85 fatal cases of COVID-19 from Wuhan: a retrospective observational study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2020;201(11):1372-1379.
10. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10229):1054-1062.
11. Arcelus JJ, Candocia S, Traverso CI, Fabrega F, Caprini JA, Hasty JH. Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis and risk assessment in medical patients. *Semin Thromb Hemost*. 1991;17(suppl 3):313-318.
12. Kahn SR, Lim W, Dunn AS, et al. Prevention of VTE in nonsurgical patients: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest*. 2012;141(2 suppl):e195S-e226S.
13. World Health Organization. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infection is suspected: *interim guidance 28 January 2020*. 2020. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019?gclid=CjwKCAjwltH3BRB6EiwAhj0IUJRqLu9rsxDNY77_ZNY7Qjope43aZzx4TrNXKftBB0RMwXAa45KfgBoCYh4QAvD_BwE
14. Hull RD, Schellong SM, Tapson VF, et al. Extended-duration venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in acutely ill medical patients with recently reduced mobility: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2010;153(1):8-18.
15. Cohen AT, Spiro TE, Spyropoulos AC, et al. Rivaroxaban for thromboprophylaxis in acutely ill medical patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368(6):513-523.
16. Spyropoulos AC, Ageno W, Albers GW, et al. Rivaroxaban for thromboprophylaxis after hospitalization for medical illness. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379(12):1118-1127.
17. Cohen AT, Harrington RA, Goldhaber SZ, et al. Extended thromboprophylaxis with betrixaban in acutely ill medical patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(6):534-544.
18. Spyropoulos AC, Lipardi C, Xu J, et al. Modified IMPROVE VTE risk score and elevated D-dimer identify a high venous thromboembolism risk in acutely ill medical population for extended thromboprophylaxis. *TH Open*. 2020;4(01):e59-e65.
19. Hoppensteadt DA, Sussman I. A consensus conference on complex biologics and low molecular weight heparins. *Int Angiol*. 2010;29(2):193-196.
20. Kearon C, Kahn SR, Agnelli G, Goldhaber S, Raskob GE, Comerota AJ. Antithrombotic therapy for venous thromboembolic disease: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Ed). *Chest*. 2008;133(6 suppl):454S-545S.
21. Bikdeli B, Madhavan MV, Jimenez D, et al. COVID-19 and thrombotic or thromboembolic disease: implications for prevention, antithrombotic therapy, and follow-up. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020.
22. Weitz JJ, Chan NC. Long-term management of venous thromboembolism: lessons from EINSTEIN CHOICE and other extension trials. *Thromb Haemost*. 2019;119(5):689-694.
23. Comerota AJ, Ramacciotti E. A comprehensive overview of direct oral anticoagulants for the management of venous thromboembolism. *Am J Med Sci*. 2016;352(1):92-106.
24. Tang N, Bai H, Chen X, Gong J, Li D, Sun Z. Anticoagulant treatment is associated with decreased mortality in severe coronavirus disease 2019 patients with coagulopathy. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020;18:1094-1099.
25. Fanaroff AC, Califf RM, Lopes RD. New approaches to conducting randomized controlled trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;75(5):556-559.
26. Ellenberg SS, Keusch GT, Babiker AG, et al. Rigorous clinical trial design in public health emergencies is essential. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2018;66(9):1467-1469.